# This Page is Inserted by IFW Indexing and Scanning Operations and is not part of the Official Record

## BEST AVAILABLE IMAGES

Defective images within this document are accurate representations of the original documents submitted by the applicant.

Defects in the images include but are not limited to the items checked:

□ BLACK BORDERS
☐ IMAGE CUT OFF AT TOP, BOTTOM OR SIDES
☐ FADED TEXT OR DRAWING
BLURRED OR ILLEGIBLE TEXT OR DRAWING
SKEWED/SLANTED IMAGES
COLOR OR BLACK AND WHITE PHOTOGRAPHS
GRAY SCALE DOCUMENTS
☐ LINES OR MARKS ON ORIGINAL DOCUMENT
☐ REFERENCE(S) OR EXHIBIT(S) SUBMITTED ARE POOR QUALITY
OTHER:

## IMAGES ARE BEST AVAILABLE COPY.

As rescanning these documents will not correct the image problems checked, please do not report these problems to the IFW Image Problem Mailbox.





### United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/015,855	12/13/2001	John F. Bisceglia	AUS920011004US1	7506	
7590 10/06/2004			EXAM	INER	
Kelly K. Kordzik			STEELMAN	STEELMAN, MARY J	
5400 Renaissance Tower 1201 Elm Street			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
Dallas, TX 75270			2122		

DATE MAILED: 10/06/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.



Office Action Summary    Tomothic Process   Description				-13				
Examiner		Application No.	Applicant(s)	Q				
Mary J. Steelman  2122  Period for Reply  A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILUNG DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address → Period for Reply  A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILUNG DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  Educations of time may a existent under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13(a). In no event, however, may a reply to timely filled after 37 (a) (MONTHS ferm the mining date of this communication.  If the period for early specialed across is less seen interly (30) (aby, a value of the communication of the 37 CFR 1.13(a). In no event, however, may a reply to timely filled after 37 (a) (MONTHS ferm the mining date of the communication.  If the period for early specialed across is less seen interly (30) (aby, a value to constitute of the communication.  If the period for early special across is the seen in the period of the communication to become ABANDONEO, 63 U.S.C. § 133). Any yeary received by the office stee from the months after the mailing date of the communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any seemed period for reply seemed period for reply seemed period for reply seemed period for special across the application, and the mailing date of the communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any seemed period for reply seemed period for special through the mailing date of the communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any seemed period for special period of the communication.  1) Responsive to communication(s) filled on 13 December 2001.  2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final.  3b) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Exparte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  Disposition of Claims  4 Q Claim(s)	Office Anti-u Communication	10/015,855	BISCEGLIA, JOHN F.	U				
Proind for Reply  A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  Educations of term pays periodiscular used the procedure of 3 CPR 1.138(s). In an avent, however, may a reply be briefly filed to the parcel for may be prevailed above. The reactions of 3 CPR 1.138(s). In an avent, however, may a reply be briefly filed to the parcel for may be prevailed above. The reaction and of 3 CPR 1.138(s). In an avent, however, may a reply be briefly filed to the parcel for may be specified above. The reaction statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (5) (MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication from the parcel for may) specified above. The reaction statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (5) (MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication, event if finely filed. The parcel of the communication of the communication and the parcel of the communication, event if finely filed. The parcel of the communication is provided by the communication and the mailing date of this communication, event if finely filed. The parcel of the communication is provided by the parcel of the communication, event if finely filed. The parcel of the communication is provided to the parcel of the mailing date of this communication, event if finely filed. The parcel of the parcel of the parcel of the mailing date of this communication, event if finely filed. The parcel of the parcel of the mailing date of this communication, event if finely filed. The parcel of the parcel of the parcel of the parcel of the mailing date of this communication, event if finely filed. The parcel of the parcel	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	•				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MALLING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  **ARCHARD STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MALLING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  **Set STX (%) MICRITIS from the reality date of the processor of the p								
THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  Extensions of me may be available under the provisions of 3° CPR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be simily flied after 52k (b) MCNTRS from the realing date of this communication.  It No benefic for reply is specified above, the machine atteutory ported within the statutory minimum of they (30) days will be considered limely.  It No benefic for reply is specified above, the machine atteutory ported will apply and will exaight still, (b) MCNTRS that the making date of this communication.  Failwe to reply within the set or extended pancif for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office and the than three minimal relation point and pancific making date of this communication, even if finely flied, may reduce any event patient from adjustment. See 57 CPR 1.1704(b).  Status  1) □ Responsive to communication(s) filled on 13 December 2001.  2a) □ This action is FINAL.  2b) □ This action is non-final.  3) □ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Queyle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  Disposition of Claims  4) □ Claim(s) 1-47 is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5) □ Claim(s) 1-47 is/are rejected.  7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8) □ Claim(s) 1-47 is/are rejected.  7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to by the Examiner.  Olio The drawing(s) filed on 13 December 2007 is/are: a) □ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) □ Acknowledgment is m		ppears on the cover sheet	with the correspondence address	•				
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 13 December 2001.  2a)□ This action is FINAL. 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.  3)□ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  Disposition of Claims  4)☑ Claim(s) 1-47 is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5)□ Claim(s) 1-47 is/are rejected.  7)□ Claim(s) 1-47 is/are rejected to.  8)□ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers  9)☒ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10)☒ The drawing(s) filed on 13 December 2001 is/are: a)□ accepted or b)☒ objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11)□ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12)□ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a)□ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:  1.□ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3.□ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  *See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(e)  1)□ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)  Paper Note)/Mail Date	THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a re- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perions after the reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state than three months after the main three main three months after the main three main three main three main three main three main thre	I. 1.136(a). In no event, however, may eply within the statutory minimum of d will apply and will expire SIX (6) No ute, cause the application to become	v a reply be timely filed thirty (30) days will be considered timely. IONTHS from the mailing date of this communication ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	on.				
2a)  This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final.  3)  Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  Disposition of Claims  4) Claim(s) 1-47 is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6) Claim(s) is/are ellowed.  7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers  9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) The drawing(s) filed on 13 <u>Docember 2001</u> is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  *See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  Paper Note)/Mail Date	Status							
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  Disposition of Claims  4) Claim(s) 1-47 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s)	1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 13	December 2001.						
Closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  Disposition of Claims  4)	2a) This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ⊠ Th	2a) This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) This action is non-final.						
Disposition of Claims  4)			•	s				
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-47 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) is/are rejected.  7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers  9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on 13 December 2001 is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:  1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) ☐ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	closed in accordance with the practice under	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C	C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6) □ Claim(s) is/are rejected.  7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers  9) □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) □ The drawing(s) filed on 13 December 2001 is/are: a) □ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) □ All b) □ Some * c) □ None of:  1. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) □ Notice of References Cited (PTO-982)	Disposition of Claims							
5   Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6)   Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7)   Claim(s) is/are rejected to. 8)   Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8)   Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers  9)   The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10)   The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b)   objected to by the Examiner.    Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).    Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11)   The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12)   Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).    a)   All   b)   Some * c)   None of:    1.	4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-47</u> is/are pending in the application	n.						
6) Claim(s) 1-47 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) is/are objected to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers  9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) The drawing(s) filed on 13 December 2001 is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of Teristsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date 12/13/2001.	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdr	awn from consideration.		,				
7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers  9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on 13 December 2001 is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:  1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) ☐ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) ☐ Notice of Oraftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date 1/21/3/2001.  5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)  6) ☐ Other:  5. Patentent Office.	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
Application Papers  9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on 13 December 2001 is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:  1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) ☐ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date 12/13/2001.  5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date  5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)  6) ☐ Other:								
Application Papers  9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on 13 December 2001 is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:  1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) ☐ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date  5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date  5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·							
9)⊠ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on 13 December 2001 is/are: a) □ accepted or b)⊠ objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11)□ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12)□ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a)□ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:  1.□ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2.□ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3.□ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) ☑ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) ☑ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date  5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date  5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)  (B) ☐ Other:	8)∐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	or election requirement.						
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on 13 December 2001 is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:  1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) ☐ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date  5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)  6) ☐ Other:  S. Patent and Trademark Office	Application Papers							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date 12/13/2001.  S. Patent and Trademark Office  Attachment of Trademark Office	9)⊠ The specification is objected to by the Exami	ner.						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date  Paper No(s)/Mail Date  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) Paper No(s)/Mail Date  S. Patent and Trademark Office	10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>13 Dece<i>mber 2001</i></u> is	/are: a)☐ accepted or b	)⊠ objected to by the Examiner.					
11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:  1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) ☐ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date 12/13/2001.  4) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date  5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date 12/13/2001.			• •					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:  1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) ☐ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date  5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 12/13/2001.				(d).				
12)  Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a)  All b)  Some * c) None of:  1.  Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2.  Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3.  Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1)  Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2)  Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3)  Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 12/13/2001.  S. Patent and Trademark Office	11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the	Examiner. Note the attach	ned Office Action or form PTO-152.					
a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 12/13/2001.  S. Patent and Trademark Office	Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) ☑ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) ☑ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 12/13/2001.  S. Patent and Trademark Office		gn priority under 35 U.S.C	c. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).					
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 12/13/2001.  S. Palent and Trademark Office								
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 12/13/2001.  S. Patent and Trademark Office  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) Other:								
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Paper No(s)/Mail Date. Paper No(s)/Mail Date 12/13/2001.  S. Patent and Trademark Office		·	en received in this National Stage					
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 12/13/2001.  S. Patent and Trademark Office  4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) Other:			et received					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 12/13/2001.  S. Patent and Trademark Office  4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) 6) Other:		st of the certified copies in	ot received.					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 12/13/2001.  S. Patent and Trademark Office  4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) 6) Other:	Attachment(s)		•					
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 12/13/2001.  S. Patent and Trademark Office	1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) 🔲 Intervie	w Summary (PTO-413)					
Paper No(s)/Mail Date 12/13/2001.       6) ☐ Other:         S. Patent and Trademark Office		Paper N	lo(s)/Mail Date					
		8) 5) 1 Notice (						
	J.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 1-04)  Office	Action Summary	Part of Paper No./Mail Date 200409	915				

Application/Control Number: 10/015,855 Page 2

Art Unit: 2122

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

1. Claims 1-47 are pending.

#### Information Disclosure Statement

2. IDS submitted 12/13/2001 has been considered.

#### **Drawings**

3. The drawings are objected to as failing to comply with 37 CFR 1.84(p)(5) because they include the following reference character(s) not mentioned in the description: FIG. 3, #331 & #332. See page 23 lines 2 & 4. Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d), or amendment to the specification to add the reference character(s) in the description in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(b) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. The replacement sheet(s) should be labeled "Replacement Sheet" in the page header (as per 37 CFR 1.84(c)) so as not to obstruct any portion of the drawing figures. If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

#### Specification

4. The use of the trademark JAVA has been noted in this application. It should be capitalized wherever it appears and be accompanied by the generic terminology.

Although the use of trademarks is permissible in patent applications, the proprietary nature of the marks should be respected and every effort made to prevent their use in any manner which might adversely affect their validity as trademarks.

#### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 6. Claims 1-47 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over US Patent Application Publication 2002/0112232 A1 to Ream et al., in view of US Patent 6,405,364 B1 to Bowman-Amuah.

Per claims 1, 15, 26, and 37:

-receiving a first request comprising a description of said development environment and said software application to be developed, wherein said development environment comprises hardware components and software components:

(Ream: [0036], "The build generating platform includes build generating software for generating a build plan. The build generating software receives a desired build definition from a person desiring to have software installed onto a recipient computer (-receiving a first request comprising a description of said development environment and said software application to be developed)...", [0044], "...a build definition is received from a build requester...the build plan

may instruct the recipient computer (hardware) to sequentially load software (software) packages...")

-reviewing said first request in accordance with control information for managing said first request;

(Ream: FIG. 2, #200-build definition is received, #202-build plan generated)

-assigning said first request to one or more developers;

(Ream: FIG. 2, #202-build plan generated),

-processing said first request;

(Ream: [0049], "Once the software to be installed on the recipient computer has been selected...(processing said first request)", [0053], "Parameters for installing a software package may include a command line instruction that initiates installation of a software package. Such command lines may be defined by a writer (developer)...")

-establishing said development environment upon said processing said first request;

(Ream: [0050], "...build plan includes references to data installation packages, causing the sequential execution of installation program command lines...where dependencies exist (development environment considered)...can determine whether additional software services or programs are required to be installed...")

Application/Control Number: 10/015,855

Art Unit: 2122

-monitoring said development environment asynchronously for violations of conditions established by said control information.

(Ream: [0044], "Once the software package has been installed, the recipient computer may verify (monitor for violations)...")

Ream disclosed a build environment. Although Ream disclosed that a writer (developer) ([0053]) might define some install parameters via a command line, his system was automated and he failed to show a group of developers working on a development environment.

Bowman-Amuah disclosed (col. 2, lines 18-21), "...building systems in a development architecture framework. Requirements are specified for both a system to be built and an implementation strategy to fulfill the requirements." Col. 2, lines 28-29, "The system is tested (monitored) to ensure that the requirements are fulfilled." Col. 2, lines 49-53, "... the system may be tested using tools such as test data management tools, test data manipulation tools, test planning tools, test execution tools, performance management tools, emulation tools, test result comparison tools, and test coverage measurement tools." Col. 11, lines 1-5, "When a new development environment is put in place, the developers need to learn not only how each individual tool works, but also how the tools work together to support the organization as it performs well defined processes" Bowman-Amuah disclosed developers involved with the development environment at col. 11, lines 28-44, "Together, these teams support the efforts of the System Building team, which is charged with the analysis, design, build, and test of the system to be developed."

Page 6

Therefore, it would have been obvious, to one of ordinary skill in the art, at the time of the invention, to modify Ream's suggestion of using a developer to input modifications, by including a team of developers, as disclosed by Bowman-Amuah, because a team of developers provide a wider body of knowledge, useful in software development, and may contribute work effort from distributed locations via the network.

Per claims 2, 16, 27, and 38:

-identifying a violation of a condition;

(Ream: [0044], "Once the software package has been installed, the recipient computer may verify (monitor for violations)...", [0066], "...build plan may write an entry to an event log evidencing the success or failure (violation of a condition)...")

-notifying a developer of said violated condition.

(Ream: [0044], "...the build requester or another person can be notified...")

Per claims 13, 17, 28, and 39:

-inserting information of said violation of said condition in a report;

(Ream: [0044], "...the build requester or another person can be notified...", [0066], "...build plan may write an entry to an event log evidencing the success or failure..."))

-issuing said report to a customer.

(Ream: [0044], "...the build requester or another person can be notified...")

Per clams 4, 18, 29, and 40:

-inserting information on a status of said development environment in a report;

(Ream: [0064], "...may cause an event log to be created...record specific events...used to contain messages related to the success or failure of the installation of individual software packages, or of errors which occur during execution of the build plan. An event log may also be used to contain status values or flags used during the execution of the build plan...")

-issuing said report to a customer.

(Ream: [0044], "...the build requester or another person can be notified...")

Per claims 5, 19, 30, and 41:

-control information comprises one or more of the following: a statement of work, a profile of a server implemented in said development environment, a profile of a network component implemented in said development environment, and a profile of said development environment. (Ream: [0036], "The build generating software converts a build definition (statement of work) into a build plan (control information)...",[0038], "The recipient computer may be intended to be a server (profile of a server)...", [0040], "If the build server and the recipient computer are not co-located, an Internet connection (profile of a network component) may be provided, such that data can be transferred from the build server to the recipient computer over the Internet...")

Per claims 6, 19, 20, 31, and 42:

-said statement of work comprises standards for hardware components and software components in said target environment, wherein said statement of work comprises contract conditions. (Ream: [0036], "The build generating software converts a build definition (statement of work) into a build plan...", [0050], Dependencies are considered. "...ensure that all programs or services which are required to be installed first are installed before a requested program.")

Ream failed to specifically note 'contract conditions.' However Amuah-Bowman disclosed (col. 30, line 48- col. 31, line 3), "In order to plan and organize the development work appropriately, a Service Level Agreement (SLA) must be in place between the Service Management group (typically part of the Environment Management team) and the developers...Specification of service levels should be precise and the service must be measurable..."

Therefore, it would have been obvious to modify Ream's invention to include more details regarding the contractual conditions expected between the developers and the client, as disclosed by Amuah-Bowman, because a clear agreement is necessary to appropriately plan and organize the development work and work efficiently towards an acceptable goal.

Per claims 7, 21, 32, and 43:

-said server profile comprises a description of said server implemented in said development environment.

(Ream: [0036], "A build definition may include identification of a desired operating system, as well as of specific software applications or updates of applications desired to be installed on a recipient computer...", [0038], "The recipient computer is a computer onto which it is desired to install software. The recipient computer may be intended to be a server used to host an application...")

Per claims 8, 22, 33, and 44;

-said network component profile comprises a description of said network component implemented in said development environment.

(Ream: (Ream: [0036], "A build definition may include identification of a desired operating system, as well as of specific software applications or updates of applications desired to be installed on a recipient computer...", [0038], "The recipient computer is a computer onto which it is desired to install software. The recipient computer preferably includes a communication connection with a build server, such as through interfaces and network connected to a network...")

Per claims 9, 23, 34, and 45:

-said profile of said development environment comprises a description of said hardware components and said software components of said development environment, wherein said profile of said development environment comprises a description of said software application to be developed.

(Ream: [0044], "...build definition is received from a build requester...")

Per claim 10:

-said processing said first request comprises updating a profile of a server implemented in said development environment, wherein said server profile comprises a description of said server

implemented in said development environment.

(Ream: [0045], "...generation of the plan may involve selecting software components to be installed on the recipient computer, and grouping pre-determined installation packages together to form a build plan.", [0081], "The system shown in FIG. 14 also allows a centralized build information server to be maintained...new revisions...may be released...requiring that data used by each build generating station be updated to reflect the new revision information...")

Per claim 11:

environment.

-said processing said first request comprises updating a profile of a network component implemented in said development environment, wherein said network component profile comprises a description of said network component implemented in said development

(Ream: [0047], "...recipient computer may be intended to access data necessary to install software onto the recipient computer via a network connection, information necessary for defining a recipient computer's identity on a network, as well as a destination address where the data can be accessed, may need to be identified and provided to recipient computer...", [0048], "...installation of software across a network may require the presence of authentication means...", [0081], "The system shown in FIG. 14 also allows a centralized build information

server to be maintained. The centralized build information server may allow information used in build generating stations to be controlled at a single point. Data defining parameters and installation instructions for specific software packages may become obsolete...data used by each build generating station be updated (update profile) to reflect the new revision information."

Network components / software required by a build may be updated as needed.)

#### Per claim 12:

-said processing said first request comprises updating profile of said development environment, wherein said profile of said development environment comprises a description of said hardware components and said software components of said development environment, wherein said profile of said development environment comprises a description of said software application to be developed.

(Ream: [0015], "...the system of the present invention includes a build library that contains installation programs provided by software suppliers, where the installation programs each configure and install a specific software package onto a recipient computer....may include build generating software, which generates build plans based on software identified as desired to be installed", [0045], "...the generation of the plan may involve selecting software components to be installed on the recipient computer...to form a build plan. First the process may update information associated with the build generating program...This update may be accomplished by synchronizing a local build information database...",[0081], "...data used by each build generating station be updated to reflect the new revision information...")

Per claims 13, 24, 35, and 46:

-receiving a second request, wherein said second request comprises a request to implement a change in said development environment.

(Ream: [0013], After a failure, implement a change, "...and optimally may allow an automated build to be initialized at this point once an installation error has been remedied", [0014], "The use of the build plan may also allow the software components installed to be identified based on the installation programs present in the build library t the time of the build, such that a record can be generated based on the build date and the configuration of the build library to identify what revision levels of software were installed on a particular machine. This may allow automated updating to occur..." A change may be made to a particular development environment.)

Per claims 14, 25, 36, and 47:

-receiving a second request, wherein said second request comprises a request to correct a problem detected in said development environment.

(Ream: [0019], "...the process may cause an event log to be written after the execution of segments of a build plan, such that the event log can e later reviewed to determine whether the build plan functioned properly, and if not, what software package was not successfully installed...(correct problem detected)")

#### Conclusion

7. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Mary Steelman, whose telephone number is (703) 305-4564. The examiner can normally be reached Monday through Thursday, from 7:00 AM to 5:30 PM If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Tuan Dam can be reached on (703) 305-4552. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

After October 25, 2004, examiner can be reached at new telephone number (571) 272-3704. Supervisor, Tuan Q. Dam can be reached at (571) 272-3694.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Mary Steelman

09/28/2004

TUAN DAM

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER